

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF OREGON

Leupold & Stevens, Inc.,

Plaintiff(s),

Case No.: 3:16-cv-01570-HZ

v.

Lightforce USA, Inc.,

JOINT ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE
RESOLUTION REPORT

Defendant(s).

Pursuant to LR 16-4(d), the parties to all cases, unless exempt, are required to confer regarding ADR and file this report within one-hundred fifty (150) days of the initiation of a lawsuit. This report is submitted in compliance with LR 16-4(d).

1. Have counsel held settlement discussions with their clients and the opposing party?

☒ Yes ☐ No

If not, provide an explanation:

2. The parties propose: *(check one of the following)*

- ☐ (a) That this case be referred to a neutral of their choice for ADR not sponsored by the Court pursuant to LR 16-4(e)(1).
- ☐ (b) That the Court refer this case to mediation using a Court-sponsored mediator. *(See LR 16-4(f) for Court-sponsored mediation procedures)*. The parties seek a Court mediator because:

☐ (c) ADR may be helpful at a later date following completion of:

☐ (d) The parties believe the Court would be of assistance in preparing for ADR by:

☐ (e) The parties do not believe that any form of ADR will assist in the resolution of this case.

☒ (f) Other:

The parties are discussing the timing and format of a formal mediation to be conducted
in the near future.

Dated: 11/16/2018

By: s/Scott E. Davis
Scott E. Davis

By: s/Brian C. Park
Brian C. Park